

United States Patent and Trademark Office

10

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/539,010	06/15/2005	Hiroaki Yamada	Q88256	7398
23373 7:	590 08/02/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			IMAS, VLADIMIR	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		2839	
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/539,010	YAMADA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vladimir Imas	2839	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Multe, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	July 2006.		
, —	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	(
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the ábove claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		•
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	•	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b) objected t	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- , ,		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreiga) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bures	•	- A	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	r\ \ \ \ Nation o	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/539,010

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-12, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki (US 6,443,779).

Regarding claim 1, Suzuki, fig. 1-5, discloses a cassette relay block attachment structure comprising: a cassette relay block 15 having a lock portion 21, the cassette relay block being inserted into a space surrounded by peripheral walls 22 on an attaching member 11, the cassette relay block being fixed by the lock portion and a locked portion 23. However, Suzuki discloses a locked portion disposed inwardly of an outermost wall surface of the cassette relay block and the lock portion located on the peripheral wall side of the attaching side, which is reversal to claimed cassette relay block attachment structure. It has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Einstein, 8 USPQ* 167.

Regarding claim 2, Suzuki discloses the lock portion and locked portion are housed in the projected area of a relay attached to the cassette relay block.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,010

Art Unit: 2839

Regarding claim 3 and 10, Suzuki discloses the terminal housing parts 16 of the cassette relay block are arranged crosswise, and the lock portion is arranged in a range surrounded by crossing outer wall surfaces of the terminal housing parts.

Regarding claim 4, 11 and 12, Suzuki discloses the cassette relay block attachment structure characterized in that lock portion is a flexible lock arm.

Regarding claim 6, 16, 17, 18 and 19, Suzuki discloses the peripheral walls 22a on the attaching side are formed as a cassette frame.

Regarding claim 7, Suzuki discloses the cassette frame serves as one of the cassette relay block and other cassette electric parts mounting blocks.

Regarding claim 8, 20 Suzuki discloses the inner surfaces of the peripheral walls are housed in the projected area of the relay.

3. Claims 5, 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Polgar et al. (US 5,902,155).

Regarding claims 5, 13-15, Suzuki discloses all limitations except a rib provided on the opposite side to the lock portion side and for one of the cassette relay block and the peripheral walls and a guide groove for slide engagement with the rib provided for the other. Polgar et al., fig. 6-12, discloses a rib 52, 54 provided on the opposite side to the lock portion side and for one of the cassette relay block and the peripheral walls and a guide groove 36, 38. At the time the invention was made, it would have been to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide Suzuki's cassette relay block with Polgar's et al. ribs and Suzuki's attaching side with Polgar's et al. grooves to provide insertion and fixing cassette relay block into attaching side (column 4, lines 37-48).

Application/Control Number: 10/539,010

Art Unit: 2839

4. Claims 9, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Okabe et al. (US 6,375,517).

Regarding claims 9, 21 and 22, Suzuki discloses all limitations except the cassette frames having lock members, respectively are coupled to each other by the lock members. Okabe et al., fig. 1-6 discloses the cassette frames 24, 27 having lock members 12, 13, respectively are coupled to each other by the lock members. At the time the invention was made, it would have been to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide Suzuki's cassette frames with Okabe's et al. lock members to provide the cassette frames are coupled to a connection box body having locked members to constitute an electric connection box.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/539,010 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vladimir Imas whose telephone number is 571-272-8288. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T. Patel can be reached on 571-272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VI Examiner Vladimir Imas 07/21/2006

TULSIDAS C. PATEL

EIPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER